

## INTER-PARLIAMENTARY UNION

CHEMIN DU POMMIER 5 1218 LE GRAND-SACONNEX / GENEVA (SWITZERLAND)

TELEPHONE + 41 22 - 919 41 50 - FAX + 41 22 - 919 41 60 - E-MAIL postbox@mail.ipu.org

## COMMITTEE ON THE HUMAN RIGHTS OF PARLIAMENTARIANS

CASE No. BLS/05 - VICTOR GONCHAR - BELARUS

Decision adopted by the Committee at its 134<sup>th</sup> session (Geneva, 4 - 7 July 2011)

The Committee,

*Referring* to the case of Mr. Victor Gonchar, a member of the Thirteenth Supreme Soviet of Belarus, who disappeared together with his friend, Mr. Anatoly Krasovsky, on 16 September 1999, and to the resolution adopted by the Governing Council at its 188<sup>th</sup> session (April 2011),

Taking into consideration the letter from the Chairman of the Committee on National Security, dated 23 June 2011,

Noting that, apart from the fact that the investigation has been extended to 24 September 2011, the letter contains no new information, in particular no response or observation on the specific questions and considerations raised in the Governing Council's resolution of April 2011 and previous decisions and resolutions, and only reiterates that various lines of investigation have been pursued, that no details regarding the investigation may be disclosed before the closure of the investigation, that the House of Representatives does not have supervisory authority over the Prosecutor General's Office, which precludes any possibility of studying the case material under investigation by that Office,

- 1. Thanks the Chairperson of the Standing Committees on National Security for his letter; deeply regrets, however, that it is merely a formal reply and does not in any way take into account the IPU's considerations and requests for information;
- 2. *Reiterates* therefore its wish to ascertain the views and response of the authorities on the following questions:
  - (i) Why does parliament not question President Lukashenko about the statements he made regarding the reasons behind the disappearance of Mr. Gonchar and Mr. Krasvosky, as it would be entitled to do?
  - (ii) How could information released by the Prosecutor's Office lead to an undue disclosure of information? By shrouding the investigation in secrecy, is there not a risk of fuelling suspicion that the authorities are unwilling to establish the truth?
  - (iv) Why has the Prosecutor General's Office so far failed to respond to Mr. Lebedko's application for an investigation of the allegations made in the Russian documentary "Krestny Batka" on disappearances in Belarus?
  - (v) Why are no documents or other evidence produced to sustain the assertion of the authorities that they have convincingly refuted the Pourgourides report, which is based on information provided by the Belarusian authorities initially in charge of investigating the disappearance;
- 3. Sincerely hopes that the authorities will finally seriously take into account its considerations and requests for information so as to facilitate more substantive dialogue and cooperation;

4. Decides to continue examining this case at its next session, to be held during the 125<sup>th</sup> IPU Assembly (October 2011), when it hopes to meet with the Belarusian delegation.